Retrospective - Second sprint

Scrummaster: Jakob Erlandsson

Team effort: 19/50

Last weeks retrospective:

* Big subteams was converted to smaller teams
* Tasks have been more specified and are not just about code

PROs:

* Well executed sprint planning
* Completion of satisfactory work in spite of unexpected problems
* Break down of one big group into smaller subgroups of three people each.
* Daily scrum done with only one speaker from each subgroup.

CONS:

* Unforeseen hardware problems which led to unfinished tasks
* Not enough knowledge of Python
* Did not take problem with non-working cars serious enough early enough
* No planning for unexpected problems

Notes:

* Hardware issue are hard for us to resolve
* Work together more closely with other groups
* For next sprint our user stories should be more specific
* We should prevent us from getting stuck with a car with hardware issues, a good way can be to have someone responsible for the MOPED
* One idea for testing the car is to create a small test script to check all factors that we want to make sure works and run that script before we start working with our tasks
* It is very important that all our tasks are well described to make sure that we know exactly what to do. There should be no questions in regards to a task.

Below you will find KPI #1, #2, 3# in said order: